Dear Ranking Member Scalise and Ranking Member Comer:

Thank you for your recent letters requesting that the Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Crisis and the Committee on Oversight and Reform (the Committees) investigate the origins of the coronavirus. We share your desire to understand the origins of the pandemic. As you noted in your letter, the Biden Administration has taken up this matter. President Biden recently ordered the Intelligence Community to “redouble their efforts to collect and analyze information that could bring us closer to a definitive conclusion” by August 24, 2021. The President also reaffirmed his Administration’s commitment to “keep working with like-minded partners around the world to press China to participate in a full, transparent, evidence-based international investigation and to provide access to all relevant data and evidence.”

We have confidence in the ability of the Intelligence Community and our diplomatic partners to conduct a robust inquiry into this important issue, which must follow the facts and must not be manipulated for partisan purposes.

Our Committees are focused on ensuring that the federal government’s response to the pandemic is effective, efficient, and equitable. We are concerned that your request may be designed not to obtain new information to protect Americans’ health, but to deflect accountability for the Trump Administration’s failed response to this crisis. In early 2020, President Trump applauded China’s “efforts and transparency” as the coronavirus spread around the globe, and said he wanted to “thank President Xi” for his handling of the virus. When asked if China was covering up the full extent of the outbreak, he stated: “No. China is working very hard.”

Even as President Trump praised China’s handling of the virus, he refused to take action to stop the spread of the virus across the United States, telling Americans, “One day—it’s like a

---


miracle—it will disappear.”

No investigation into the origins of the coronavirus in China would absolve the former President of this dereliction of duty, which contributed to the deaths of more than half a million of our fellow Americans—more deaths from the coronavirus than in any other country in the world.

In light of the many challenges of investigating the origin of the coronavirus in a foreign country, we believe inquiries into this issue will be well served by entities with direct access to intelligence tools and diplomatic channels. Moreover, other congressional committees, including the House Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, have indicated they intend to perform work related to the origins of the coronavirus.

As these inquiries proceed, a major focus of our Committees’ oversight activities will remain our government’s response to the coronavirus crisis, so that our country can be better equipped to prevent and respond to future global pandemics. We know that the threat was well documented before the coronavirus crisis began. For years before the coronavirus hit our shores, experts warned that the world faced significant risk from the threat of a global pandemic. The Intelligence Community’s 2019 Worldwide Threat Assessment warned:

We assess that the United States and the world will remain vulnerable to the next flu pandemic or large-scale outbreak of a contagious disease that could lead to massive rates of death and disability, severely affect the world economy, strain international resources, and increase calls on the United States for support.

The report also said that there will be “more frequent outbreaks of infectious diseases” than in the past and that health security improvements by the international community “may be inadequate.” A similar assessment in 2018 found that a “novel strain of a virulent microbe that is easily transmissible between humans continues to be a major threat”—specifically listing another coronavirus, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus, as one with “pandemic potential.”
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weakened our country’s ability to respond to a pandemic regardless of its origin, including authorizing an 80 percent budget cut for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) epidemic prevention activities in China and other viral hot-spot countries,\(^\text{11}\) and disbanding the National Security Council’s pandemic response team.\(^\text{12}\)

Even when the spread of the coronavirus became well known in January and February 2020, the Trump Administration failed to take basic steps to ensure that the country was prepared. While his own advisers privately warned him that the country was facing “what may be a very serious public health emergency” and that urgent action was needed to “stay ahead of virus curve,”\(^\text{13}\) President Trump downplayed the threat to the public and prioritized political considerations over public health—refusing to implement a coordinated national plan or listen to scientists on the steps needed to slow the virus’s spread.\(^\text{14}\) The Committees’ investigations have shown that these critical blunders left the United States woefully unprepared for the onslaught of the pandemic.\(^\text{15}\)

Dr. Deborah Birx, the White House Coronavirus Task Force Coordinator during the Trump Administration, has acknowledged that hundreds of thousands of American lives could have been saved if the previous Administration had implemented a more aggressive response, saying: “There were about a hundred thousand deaths that came from that original surge. All of
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the rest of them, in my mind, could have been mitigated or decreased substantially.”

A February 2021 analysis in *The Lancet* similarly concluded that, if the Trump Administration had implemented policies similar to those adopted in other developed countries, American coronavirus deaths could have been reduced by as much as 40 percent.

The Committees’ investigations have shown that the previous Administration’s response to the virus exacerbated the pandemic’s toll. For example, documents released by the Select Subcommittee revealed that several high-level Trump appointees advocated pursuing a “herd immunity” strategy, a reckless policy of purposely allowing large numbers of Americans to become infected with the coronavirus. These same appointees downplayed the threat of the pandemic to protect President Trump’s re-election effort, including by attempting to alter or suppress accurate scientific information in at least 13 CDC coronavirus-related reports, preparing op-eds to attack CDC reports in order to justify President Trump’s push to reopen, and retaliating against officials from CDC and other agencies who provided truthful information to the public. The Trump Administration awarded multi-million-dollar contracts for critical supplies—often without competition—to companies that had political connections, lacked experience, and failed to perform, thereby contributing to supply shortages. They also mismanaged economic recovery programs, leading to nearly $84 billion in potentially fraudulent loans and delayed delivery of economic relief payments to nine million Americans.

We take issue with your assertion that the Select Subcommittee’s “credibility” would be placed into question unless we were to conduct the investigation you propose. Not only have our Committees’ investigations exposed the Trump Administration’s failures, but they have saved
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American taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars,\textsuperscript{22} preserved tens of thousands of American jobs,\textsuperscript{23} and identified billions of dollars in potential fraud.\textsuperscript{24} Our work continues to identify how our nation’s response could have been and can still be more effective, efficient, and equitable.\textsuperscript{25}

Your apparent effort to use the issue of the origin of the virus in order to shift accountability from President Trump and his top political advisers to Dr. Anthony Fauci, a respected civil servant who has served with distinction under seven Presidents, is an irresponsible gambit that we urge you to abandon. Your demand that the Committees invite Dr. Fauci to testify fails to acknowledge that he has previously testified twice before the Select Subcommittee, most recently less than two months ago, and once before the Oversight Committee.\textsuperscript{26} During those hearings, Republican Members of the Committees had the opportunity to question Dr. Fauci for hours. In fact, one of our Republican colleagues questioned Dr. Fauci about the exact issues that you now demand the Committees invite him to address.\textsuperscript{27}

Rather than seek to obscure the previous Administration’s missteps, we would welcome your constructive collaboration on our vitally important work of identifying and remediying
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weaknesses in the federal response so we can end this pandemic, continue to rebuild our economy, and protect Americans from future threats.

Sincerely,

James E. Clyburn  
Chairman  
Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Crisis

Carolyn B. Maloney  
Chairwoman  
Committee on Oversight and Reform